Appeal: 09-6159 Doc: 11 Filed: 09/02/2009 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-6159

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JAY E. LENTZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. T. S. Ellis, III, Senior District Judge. (1:01-cr-00150-TSE-1)

Submitted: August 20, 2009 Decided: September 2, 2009

Before MICHAEL, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Jay E. Lentz, Appellant Pro Se. Patricia Marie Haynes, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Erik R. Barnett, Assistant United States Attorney, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 09-6159 Doc: 11 Filed: 09/02/2009 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Jay Lentz seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion for appointment of counsel and his motion for an investigator. This court may exercise appointment of jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2006), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2006); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). The order Lentz seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for or collateral order. lack of jurisdiction. We deny as moot Lentz's motion to hold the case in abeyance. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED